

## E-VOTING SYSTEMS: A TOOL FOR E-DEMOCRACY

<sup>1</sup>Emad ABU-SHANAB, <sup>2</sup>Michael KNIGHT and <sup>3</sup>Heba REFAI

<sup>1</sup>Yarmouk University, abushanab@yu.edu.jo

<sup>2</sup>University of Wisconsin - Green Bay, knightm@uwgb.edu

<sup>3</sup>Yarmouk University, Refai86@yahoo.com, Jordan

### Abstract

Using electronic voting systems is divisive as some countries used such systems and others did not. Electronic voting (e-voting) is relatively a new concept based on its application that aims at reducing errors and improving the convenience and integrity of election process. This paper tried to explore the factors that influence the adoption of such systems in a university environment. The study utilized a sample of 302 bachelor degree students in a public Jordanian university and in relation to students' council election process. Results indicated that students were keen on the concepts of trust and usefulness of e-voting when adopting such systems. The study supported the findings of TAM in the area of technology acceptance. Conclusions are at the end of this paper.

**Keywords:** E-government, e-democracy, e-voting, students' elections.

### REFERENCES

- AbuShanab, E. (2005). *Internet Banking in Jordan: The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) Perspective*. A PhD dissertation at Southern Illinois University Carbondale, 2005. Supervisor: John Pearson, SIUC, USA.
- Alrai Newspaper, (2009). Retrieved August 5, 2009, from [www.alrai.com](http://www.alrai.com).
- Bhatnagar, S. E. (2004). *Government from vision to implementation a practical guide with case studies*. IMA. India. pp 35-39.
- Bishop, M. and Wanger, D. (2007). Risk of e-voting, *Communication of the ACM*, Vol.50, No.11, pp.120-121.
- Bozinis, A. and Lakovou, E. (2005). Electronic democratic governance: problem, challenge and best practice, *Journal of information technology impact*, Vol.5, No.2, pp.73-80.
- Cetinkaya, O. and Cetinkaya, D. (2007). Verification and validation issues in electronic voting, *Electronic journal of e-government*, Vol.5, No.2, pp.117-126.
- Data-monitor, (2008). Feature analysis, *Data-Monitor*, pp. 209-210.
- Davis, F. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), pp. 319-340.
- Davis, F., Bagozzi, R. and Warshaw, P. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: a comparison of two theoretical models. *Management Science*, 35(8), pp. 982-1003.

- Gerlach, F. (2009). Seven principle for secure e-voting, *Communication of the ACM*, Vol.52, No.2.
- Johnson, J. (2006). The illiberal culture of E-democracy, *Journal of E-government*, Vol.3, No.4, pp.n85-112.
- Kahani, M. (2005). Experiencing small-scale e-democracy in IRAN, *Electronic journal on information system in developing country*, Vol.22, No.5.
- Kitlan, D. and Joseph, R. (2008). An examination of factors effecting multi level e-voting, *Northeast decision science institute proceedings*, pp.475-484.
- Klossner, J. (2007). California puts limits on use of e-voting systems, *Computer World*, Vol.11.
- Ramos, K. (2006). IT motivated students to participate in election, Retrieved August 6, 2009, from <http://www.microsoft.com/education/partnersinlearning.aspx>.
- Rawashda, M. (2009). The president of students body in Yarmouk University (personal interview , august 5 ,
- Seligson, D. (2008). How America votes: eight lessons from Election Day, *Politics*, pp.15-16.
- Songini, M. (2007). Touch screen ballots voted out in Florida, *Computer World*, Vol.8.
- Storer, T. and Duncan, I. (2004). Polsterless remote electronic voting, *Journal of E-government*, Vol.1, No.1, pp.75-103.
- Toe, T., Srivastava, S. and LiJiang (2008). Trust and electronic government success: an empirical study, *Journal of management information systems*, Vol.25, No.3, pp.99-131.
- Towns, S. (2008). California put the breaks on e-voting, *Government technology*, pp.32-36.
- Vuyst, B. and Fairchild, A. (2005). Experimenting with electronic voting registration: the case of Belgium , *Electronic journal of e-government*, Vol.3, Issue.2, pp.87-90 .