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Abstract
Job satisfaction represents one of the most complex areas facing today’s managers when it comes to managing their employees. Many studies have demonstrated an unusually large impact on the job satisfaction on the motivation of workers, while the level of motivation has an impact on productivity, and hence also on performance of business organizations. Unfortunately, in our region, job satisfaction has not still received the proper attention from neither scholars nor managers of various business organizations.
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1. DEFINITION AND IMPORTANCE OF JOB SATISFACTION

Despite its vide usage in scientific research, as well as in everyday life, there is still no general agreement regarding what job satisfaction is. In fact there is no final definition on what job represents. Therefore before a definition on job satisfaction can be given, the nature and importance of work as a universal human activity must be considered.

Different authors have different approaches towards defining job satisfaction. Some of the most commonly cited definitions on job satisfaction are analysed in the text that follows.

Hoppock defined job satisfaction as any combination of psychological, physiological and environmental circumstances that cause a person truthfully to say I am satisfied with my job (Hoppock, 1935). According to this approach although job satisfaction is under the influence of many external factors, it remains something internal that has to do with the way how the employee feels. That is job satisfaction presents a set of factors that cause a feeling of satisfaction.

Vroom in his definition on job satisfaction focuses on the role of the employee in the workplace. Thus he defines job satisfaction as affective orientations on the part of individuals toward work roles which they are presently occupying (Vroom, 1964).

One of the most often cited definitions on job satisfaction is the one given by Spector according to whom job satisfaction has to do with the way how people feel about their job and its various aspects. It has to do with
Job satisfaction represents a combination of positive or negative feelings that workers have towards their work. Meanwhile, when a worker employed in a business organization, brings with it the needs, desires and experiences which determinates expectations that he has dismissed. Job satisfaction represents the extent to which expectations are and match the real awards. Job satisfaction is closely linked to that individual's behaviour in the work place (Davis et al., 1985).

Job satisfaction is a worker’s sense of achievement and success on the job. It is generally perceived to be directly linked to productivity as well as to personal well-being. Job satisfaction implies doing a job one enjoys, doing it well and being rewarded for one’s efforts. Job satisfaction further implies enthusiasm and happiness with one’s work. Job satisfaction is the key ingredient that leads to recognition, income, promotion, and the achievement of other goals that lead to a feeling of fulfillment (Kaliski, 2007).

Job satisfaction can be defined also as the extent to which a worker is content with the rewards he or she gets out of his or her job, particularly in terms of intrinsic motivation (Statt, 2004).

The term job satisfaction refers to the attitudes and feelings people have about their work. Positive and favorable attitudes towards the job indicate job satisfaction. Negative and unfavorable attitudes towards the job indicate job dissatisfaction (Armstrong, 2006).

Job satisfaction is the collection of feelings and beliefs that people have about their current job. People’s levels of degrees of job satisfaction can range from extreme satisfaction to extreme dissatisfaction. In addition to having attitudes about their jobs as a whole. People also can have attitudes about various aspects of their jobs such as the kind of work they do, their coworkers, supervisors or subordinates and their pay (George et al., 2008).

Job satisfaction is a complex and multifaceted concept which can mean different things to different people. Job satisfaction is usually linked with motivation, but the nature of this relationship is not clear. Satisfaction is not the same as motivation. Job satisfaction is more of an attitude, an internal state. It could, for example, be associated with a personal feeling of achievement, either quantitative or qualitative (Mullins, 2005).

We consider that job satisfaction represents a feeling that appears as a result of the perception that the job enables the material and psychological needs (Aziri, 2008).

Job satisfaction can be considered as one of the main factors when it comes to efficiency and effectiveness of business organizations. In fact the new managerial paradigm which insists that employees should be treated and considered primarily as human beans that have their own wants, needs, personal desires, is a very good
indicator for the importance of job satisfaction in contemporary companies. When analysing job satisfaction
the logic that a satisfied employee is a happy employee and a happy employee is a successful employee.

The importance of job satisfaction specially emerges to surface if had in mind the many negative
consequences of job dissatisfaction such a lack of loyalty, increased absenteeism, increased number of
accidents etc. Spector (1997) lists three important features of job satisfaction. First, organizations should be
guided by human values. Such organizations will be oriented towards treating workers fairly and with respect.
In such cases the assessment of job satisfaction may serve as a good indicator of employee effectiveness.
High levels of job satisfaction may be sign of a good emotional and mental state of employees. Second, the
behaviour of workers depending on their level of job satisfaction will affect the functioning and activities of the
organization's business. From this it can be concluded that job satisfaction will result in positive behaviour
and vice versa, dissatisfaction from the work will result in negative behaviour of employees. Third, job
satisfaction may serve as indicators of organizational activities. Through job satisfaction evaluation different
levels of satisfaction in different organizational units can be defined, but in turn can serve as a good indication
regarding in which organizational unit changes that would boost performance should be made.

Christen, Iyer and Soberman (2006) provide a model of job satisfaction presented in Figure 1 in which the
following elements are included:

- Job related factors,
- Role perceptions,
- Job performance and
- Firm performance.

![Figure 1 - Christen, Iyer and Soberman model of job satisfaction (Christen et al., 2006)](image-url)
Lawler and Porter (1967) give their model of job satisfaction which unlike the previous model places a special importance on the impact of rewards on job satisfaction, Figure 2.

According to this model the intrinsic and extrinsic rewards are not directly connected with job satisfaction, because of the employees perceptions regarding the deserved level of pay.

Locke and Latham (1990) provide a somewhat different model of job satisfaction. They proceed from the assumption that the objectives set at the highest level and high expectations for success in work provides achievement and success in performing tasks. Success is analysed as a factor that creates job satisfaction. This model is presented in Figure 3.
2. FACTORS OF JOB SATISFACTION

Job satisfaction is under the influence of a series of factors such as: The nature of work, Salary, Advancement opportunities, Management, Work groups and Work conditions.

A somewhat different approach regarding the factors of job satisfaction is provided by Rue and Byars, Figure 4.

When talking about factors of job satisfaction the fact that they can also cause job dissatisfaction must be kept in mind. Therefore the issue whether job satisfaction and job dissatisfaction are two opposite and excusable phenomena? There is no consensus regarding this issue among authors. Herzberg’s Two Factor Theory is probably the most often cited point of view. In fact the main idea is that employees in their work environment are under the influence of factors that cause job satisfaction and factors that cause job dissatisfaction. Therefore all factors that have derived from a large empirical research and divided in factors that cause job satisfaction (motivators) and factors that cause job dissatisfaction (hygiene factors), Table 1.
3. MEASURING JOB SATISFACTION

Usually job satisfaction is measured by using general scientific research methods such as the questionnaire. Some of the most commonly used techniques for measuring job satisfaction include:

- Minnesota satisfaction questionnaire and
- Job description index

The Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire is a paper-pencil type of a questionnaire and can be implemented both individually and in a group, but it does not take sex differences into consideration. This questionnaire has one short form and two long forms that date from 1967 and 1977. In fact, 20 work features in five levels are measured with this questionnaire. Responding to this questionnaire usually takes between 15-20 minutes.

The 1967 version of the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire uses the following response categories:

- Not satisfied,
- Somewhat satisfied,
- Satisfied,
- Very satisfied and
- Extremely satisfied.

The 1977 version of the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire uses the following response categories:

- Very satisfied,
- Satisfied,
- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied,
- Dissatisfied and
- Very dissatisfied.

If compared, it is obvious that in a way the 1977 version of this questionnaire is more balanced compared to the 1967 version. This questionnaire the following aspects of job:

- Co-workers
- Achievement
- Activity
The Job Description Index is one of the most widely used techniques for measuring job satisfaction. It is a simple and easily applicable method. The measurement of strength and weakness within each factor are a sign as in which field improvement and changes are necessary.

This questionnaire allows acquisition of information on all major aspects of work and takes sex differences into consideration. This questionnaire was first introduced in 1969 and it measures five major job satisfaction aspects with a total of over 70 potential job descriptions.

The factors considered by the job description index are:

- The nature of work,
- Compensation and benefits,
- Attitudes toward supervisors,
- Relations with co-workers and
- Opportunities for promotion.

Descriptors on each of the five factors can be evaluated with three potential options by the employees: 1 which means that the description is relevant, 2 which means that the description is not relevant and 3 that means that the employee does not have an opinion.
One of the oldest approaches to measure job satisfaction is the degree of facial expressions presented by Kunin, Figure 5. Perhaps this is the simplest form of job satisfaction measurement. In fact according to this approach several facial expressions are presented to the employee and he should put a check underneath the expression that describes his feeling and opinion the best.

Figure 5 – Facial Expressions Presented by Kunin

4. EFFECTS OF JOB SATISFACTION

Job satisfaction causes a series of influences on various aspects of organizational life. Some of them such as the influence of job satisfaction on employee productivity, loyalty and absenteeism are analysed as part of this text.

The proponderance of research evidence indicates that there is no strong linkage between satisfaction and productivity. For example a comprehensive meta-analysis of the research literature finds only a .17 best-estimate correlation between job satisfaction and productivity. Satisfied workers will not necessarily be the highest producers. There are many possible moderating variables, the most important of which seems to be rewards. If people receive rewards they feel are equitable, they will be satisfied and this is likely to result in greater performance effort. Also, recent research evidence indicates that satisfaction may not necessarily lead to individual performance improvement but does lead to departmental and organizational level improvements. Finally there is still considerable debate whether satisfaction leads to performance or performance leads to satisfaction (Luthans, 1998).

Employee loyalty is one of the most significant factors that human resource managers in particular must have in mind. Employee loyalty is usually measured with the Loyalty Questionnaire and can cause serious negative consequences when not in a high level.

Usually three types of employee loyalty are considered: affective loyalty, normative loyalty and continuity loyalty. Affective loyalty has do with the cases when an employee feels an emotional connection to the company, normative loyalty is a sort of loyalty that appears in cases when the employee feels like he owes something to the company and continuity loyalty comes as a result of the fact that the employee does not have an opportunity to find a job somewhere else.
Research conducted by Vanderberg and Lance (1992) during which they surveyed 100 professionals in the information services for five months showed a strong relationship between job satisfaction and employee loyalty. Their research proved that the higher the degree of job satisfaction, the higher is the level of employee loyalty.

Employee absenteeism causes serious additional costs for companies, therefore managers are in permanent pursuit of ways to decrease and reduce it to its minimum. Probably, the best way to reduce employee absenteeism would be through an increase in the level of employee satisfaction. The main idea behind this approach is that the higher the degree of job satisfaction is, the lower employee absenteeism should be.

Even though the effects are modest, the fact that job satisfaction contributes to decreasing the level of employee absenteeism remains. So satisfaction is worth paying attention to, especially since it is potentially under your control – unlike some of the other causes of absenteeism (e.g. illness, accidents). But as we said circumstances can alter this equation. As a manager you could be implicitly encouraging absenteeism by enforcing company policies. If people are paid for sick days, and if they must be “used or lost” this is pretty strong encouragement for employees to be absent. In other words, you’ve helped create a culture of absenteeism that can overcome the “satisfaction” effect. (Sweeney and McFarlin, 2005)

When satisfaction is high, absenteeism tends to be low; when satisfaction is low, absenteeism tends to be high. However as with the other relationships with satisfaction, there are moderating variables such as the degree to which people feel their jobs are important. Additionally, it is important to remember that while high job satisfaction will not necessarily result in low absenteeism, low job satisfaction is likely to bring about high absenteeism.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Job satisfaction represents one of the most complex areas facing today’s managers when it comes to managing their employees. Although thousands of papers and research have been conducted on job satisfaction all over the world, in the Republic of Macedonia this is one of the least studied research fields.

Many studies have demonstrated an unusually large impact on the job satisfaction on the motivation of workers, while the level of motivation has an impact on productivity, and hence also on performance of business organizations.

There is a considerable impact of the employees’ perceptions for the nature of his work and the level of overall job satisfaction. Financial compensation has a great impact on the overall job satisfaction of employees.
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